

Challenges to Pediatric HIV Care:

The Impact of COVID on Pediatric Treatment Provided by Faith-Based Facilities in Kenya



- Describe a 2022 study calculating the percentage of HIV treatment services offered by faith-based health facilities in Kenya.
- Compare these findings to earlier waves of this study.
- Highlight findings during COVID (2019—2021).
- Describe these COVID findings for pediatric treatment visits in Kenya.



Defining faithbased health facilities

- Listed as faith-based facility on the Kenya Health
 Facility Master List maintained by the Ministry of Health
- Some faith-based providers labeled as nongovernmental providers.
 - Examined all non-governmental providers by name or in light of local knowledge of providers' faith-based status
 - Facilities marked as "faith-based" if:
 - The facility or sponsoring organization lists religious beliefs as core to mission, or
 - The facility or sponsoring organization had direct, financial, administrative, or programmatic ties to religious bodies



HIV health services data

 Analysis of HIV clinical services using existing datasets on the DHIS2 data platform (hiskenya.org)



The percentage of HIV clinical services provided by the faith sector has remained remarkably consistent.

| | 2,013 | 2,017 | 2,019 | 2,021 | %change 2019-2021 (COVID) |
|------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Alle | 2.665.444 | 0.740.600 | 42 444 562 | 45 042 524 | 44.70/ |
| All Facilities FB facilities | 3,665,111 810,082 | 9,710,688 2,011,790 | 13,441,562 2,824,319 | 15,013,531 3,128,954 | 11.7% 10.8% |
| % by FB facilities | 22.1% | 20.7% | 21.0% | 20.8% | 10.870 |



Faith-based facilities

are essential

providers in high

incidence/
prevalence counties

| | Total | | | Total | | | Total | | |
|----------|-----------|------------|----------|-----------|------------|----------|-----------|------------|----------|
| | cases FB | Total | | cases FB | Total | | cases FB | Total | |
| County | 2017 | cases 2017 | %FB 2017 | 2019 | cases 2019 | %FB 2019 | 2021 | cases 2021 | %FB 2021 |
| Mombasa | 203,305 | 415,975 | 48.9% | 242,103 | 533,915 | 45.3% | 270,910 | 579,528 | 46.7% |
| Nairobi | 571,966 | 1,348,568 | 42.4% | 717,304 | 1,810,767 | 39.6% | 798,004 | 2,017,452 | 39.6% |
| Kiambu | 107,870 | 312,088 | 34.6% | 145,803 | 465,607 | 31.3% | 162,108 | 509,317 | 31.8% |
| Migori | 118,322 | 574,755 | 20.6% | 179,559 | 843,943 | 21.3% | 196,437 | 933,898 | 21.0% |
| Kakamega | 74,818 | 348,515 | 21.5% | 94,737 | 494,894 | 19.1% | 101,210 | 541,281 | 18.7% |
| Homa Bay | 182,161 | 910,343 | 20.0% | 240,539 | 1,302,652 | 18.5% | 264,353 | 1,424,152 | 18.6% |
| Kisumu | 125,370 | 900,202 | 13.9% | 238,629 | 1,240,656 | 19.2% | 254,139 | 1,372,165 | 18.5% |
| Siaya | 76,009 | 744,920 | 10.2% | 126,760 | 1,024,810 | 12.4% | 142,960 | 1,171,240 | 12.2% |
| Nakuru | 38,354 | 301,931 | 12.7% | 52,452 | 455,305 | 11.5% | 55,803 | 519,180 | 10.7% |
| Busia | 29,463 | 318,199 | 9.3% | 39,114 | 401,458 | 9.7% | 30,808 | 423,541 | 9.4% |
| Murang'a | ه,903 | 131,702 | 6.8% | 14,790 | 178,980 | 8.3% | 18,435 | 198,727 | 2.3% |
| Total | 1,536,541 | 6,307,198 | 24.4% | 2,091,790 | 8,752,987 | 23.9% | 2,304,167 | 9,690,481 | 23.8% |



Increase in treatment visits for adults and adolescents during COVID...

| | 2,019 | 2020 | 2,021 | |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| | | | | |
| Adult visits all facilities | 12,147,850 | 13,080,976 | 13,736,925 | |
| Adult visits FB facilities | 2,554,854 | 2,751,432 | 2,861,531 | |
| % by FB facilities | 21.0% | 21.0% | 20.8% | |
| % change in adult visits during the COVID response | | 2019-2020: +7.6 in all facilities; +7.7% in FB facilities | 2020-2021: +5.0% in all facilities; 4.0% in FB facilities | |
| | 2019-2021: +13.1% in all facilities; +12.0% in FB facilities | | | |

| | 2,019 | 2020 | 2,021 |
|------------------------------|---------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Adol. (10-19) All facilities | 865,616 | 898,414 | 922,306 |
| Adol. (10-19) FB facilities | 191,230 | 201,687 | 203,949 |
| % Adol.(10-19) by FB | 22.1% | 22.4% | 22.1% |
| facilities | 22.170 | 22.90 | 22.170 |
| % change in adolescent | | 2019-2020: =-+3.8% | 2020-2021: =+2.7% in |
| visits (10-19) during the | | in all facilities; +5.4% | all facilities; +1.1% in |
| COVID response | | in FB facilities | FB facilities |



...but there was a marked decrease in pediatric treatment

Why?

| | 2,019 | 2020 | 2,021 |
|---|-----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| Ped. visits all facilities | 428,096 | 388,444 | 354,300 |
| Ped. visits FB facilities | 78,235 | 69,111 | 63,474 |
| % by FB facilities | 18.3% | 17.8% | 17.4% |
| % change in 0-9 yo visits during the COVID response | 2019-2021: -17. | 2% in all facilities; -18.9 | % in FB facilities |



Was the decrease in pediatric visits primarily due to fewer numbers of pediatric cases as progress in the global response limits the number of new cases?

Not likely

There has been a drop in pediatric cases but only by 12.9% while treatment visits dropped by 17.2%



Did the decrease in pediatric cases reflect difficulties in women living with HIV accessing HIV services during the pandemic for themselves or their children?

Unknown but not supported by data

■ Treatment visits for adolescent girls (15-19) and young women (20-24) actually increased 2019-2021.

| | 2019 | 2021 | |
|---------------------------------|--|--------|--|
| All visits, female 15-19 | 238585 | 273581 | |
| FB facilities, female 15-19 | 53298 | 62367 | |
| % by FB facilities | 22.3% | 22.8% | |
| % change in female 15-19 visits | 2019-2021: +14.7% in all facilities; +17.0% in FB facilities | | |
| during the COVID response | | | |
| All visits, female 20-24 | 481957 | 521517 | |
| FB facilities, female 20-24 | 77183 | 86906 | |
| % by FB facilities | 16.0% | 16.7% | |
| % change in female 20-24 visits | 2019-2021: +8.2% in all facilities; +12.6% in FB facilities | | |
| during the COVID response | | | |

If women were not accessing care for their children, they were, nonetheless, accessing care for themselves.



Is the overall decrease in pediatric visits disproportionately due to the failure to ensure that neonates recently born to mothers living with HIV are referred into clinical care?

Data supports this

Treatment visits for children <1 y.o. fell sharply.</p>

| | 2,019 | 2,021 |
|-------------------------------------|---|----------|
| | | |
| Infant (<1) all facilities | 10,624 | 5,322 |
| Infant (<1) FB facilities | 2,743 | 1,185 |
| % by FB facilities | 25.8% | 22.3% |
| % change in <1 yo visits during the | 2019-2021: -49.9% in all facilities; -56.8% in faith- | |
| COVID response | based fac | cilities |

- However, remember that treatment visits for adolescent girls and young women actually rose during the same period.
- Could the decrease reflect a breakdown of screening for HIV infection in women unaware of their status?
 - PMTCT: 96% in 2019; 91% in 2021 (UNAIDS)
 - HIV testing in ANC: 94% in 2019; 85% in 2021 (UNAIDS)



- Do we see a recovery in visit numbers in 2023? No. Nationally, pediatric visit rates (0-9) dropped by an additional 12% from 2021-2022. Infant visit rates dropped by an additional 14%.
- Do these decreases simply reflect an uptake of multi-month dispensing (MMD) of ARTs? If so, why only in pediatric cases, especially infant cases?
- PMTCT among women aware of their status fell from 95% in 2019 to 90% in 2021. Testing of HIV in ANC with women unaware of their status dropped more sharply from 94 in 2019 to 85% in 2021. What accounts for this drop? Are we losing women for treatment of their own infection and for PMTCT for their children?